



Strategic Case Study: Methodology for measuring the economic development of biomass value chains, in West Region, Romania

Vlad Stanciu – Executive Director

Georgiana Birau – Biomass Technician

## Summary:



### Introduction

- Assessment of the existing and potential biomass, in the region
- Assignment of an economic value for each type of biomass
- Determination of mobility potential
- Developing Equations for logistic analysis in biomass value chains
- Conclusions

## Introduction

Value Chains Integration in the Local Economy





#### Development of bio-based industries

= Growth of:

- Rural economy
- Quality of life in rural areas
- Non-agricultural activities
- Energy independence
- Significant contribution to the objectives of Europe 2020 Strategy

#### How:

- Encourage cooperation and association among actors in line with sustainable development principles (like fair trade)
- Support the pooling of actors into integrated supply chains
- Regional and trans-regional cooperation



Administrative and Natural background of the area - Western Region -



Administrative and Natural background of the area - Western Region -



land

Primary and secondary agriculture residues

### Area (ha) x Specific biomass output (t/ha) = Potential (t)

#### Agricultural surface by use categories (ha)

|                            |                     | Arad    | Caras-Severin | Hunedoara | Timis   |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------|-----------|---------|
|                            | Occupied area       | 775,409 | 851,976       | 706,267   | 869,665 |
|                            | Agriculture surface | 510,624 | 397,276       | 280,377   | 698,638 |
|                            | West Region         | Arad    | Caras-Severin | Hunedoara | Timis   |
| Arable                     | 1,090,197           | 349,856 | 127,226       | 79,615    | 533,500 |
| Pastures                   | 550,236             | 126,109 | 184,036       | 11,7566   | 122,525 |
| Grassland                  | 210,541             | 25,495  | 73,557        | 82,274    | 29,215  |
| Vineyards and<br>nurseries | 8,573               | 3,577   | 768           | -         | 4,228   |
| Orchards and<br>nurseries  | 27,368              | 5,587   | 11,689        | 922       | 9,170   |

Primary and secondary agriculture residues

## Area (ha) x Specific biomass output(t/ha) = Potential (t)

#### Main crops and crops residues in West Region

| (          | Culture         | West Region |
|------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Wheat      | ha              | 242,244     |
| wheat      | Production t/ha | 4.01        |
|            | ha              | 288,660     |
| Maize      | Production t/ha | 3.5         |
| Parlov     | ha              | 43,510      |
| вапеу      | Production t/ha | 2.6         |
| Sumflewer  | ha              | 44,842      |
| Sumower    | Production t/ha | 1.8         |
| Sugar beet | ha              | 641         |
|            | Production t/ha | 36.5        |

Corresponding quantity of secondary residues

|            | Grain : straw |
|------------|---------------|
| Wheat      | 1:1.3         |
| Maize      | 1:1.6         |
| Barley     | 1:1           |
| Sun Flower | 1:3           |
| Sugar Beet | 1:1           |

Total Biomass Potential of Agriculture =3.2 mil. t

#### Wood residues



Facts:

- Easy to access by forestry roads
- Easy to process high density(beech, oak, sycamore, etc)
- > 5% to 10% of forest wood is residual (to be cleaned)
- ➤ 51,525-103,050 ha surfaces of residues
- => Up to 23,7 mil. m<sup>3</sup> residual wood (about 14 mill t)





Energy Crops

- Popular in Timis and Arad counties, due to rich, fertile lands
- > 500 ha planted with energetic willow cultures
- 100 ha planted with Miscanthus
- One hectare of willow/miscanthus produces:
  - > 20 tons with 8% humidity (natural ventilation in the sun)

> 35 tons with 35% - 40% humidity







Willow seedlings

Energetic potential of biomass use

| Type of biomass  | Tons of residues produced<br>yearly (†) | Theoretical Energetic<br>Potential<br>(MWh/t) | Technical Energetic<br>Potential<br>(MWh/t) | Achievable Energetic<br>Potential_2020<br>(MWh/t) |
|------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Wheat straws     | 1,262,817                               | 5,139,669                                     | 2,569,835                                   | 2,569,835   |
| Maize residues   | 1,616,496                               | 8,858,398                                     | 4,429,199                                   | 4,429,199   |
| Barley straws    | 113,126                                 | 452,504                                       | 226,252                                     | 226,252   |
| Sun flower       | 242,146                                 | 1,063,024                                     | 531,512                                     | 531,512   |
| Sugar beet       | 23,396                                  | 21,005  |   |   |
| Wood residues    | 1,400,000                               | 6,020,000                                     | 3,010,000                                   | 3,010,000   |
| Energetic willow | 10,000                                  | 57  | 57  | 57  |
| Miscanthus       | 2,000                                   | 8.8   | 8.8   | 8.8   |
| Total Energy     | Potential (MWh)                         | 21,661,874                                    | 10,830,937                                  | 10,830,937  |



Support in the development of a sustainable concept for harnessing renewable energies in Timis County

=> 11 TWh Achievable Energetic Potential of existing biomass

ROSENC

The Romanian Sustainble



Assessment of energy potential of the unused lands



# Assignment of an economic value for each type of biomass



- Market analysis for each type of biomass
- Average economic value resulted from the analysis

|                    | elling costs for each type of biomass(*by the side of the road) |             |           |  |
|--------------------|---|-------------|-----------|--|
| Type of residue    |   | Costs €/ kg | Costs €/t |  |
| Agricultural waste | Wheat, hay  | 0.1- 0.2    | 100-200   |  |
|                    | Maize   | 0.13        | 130       |  |
| Forostry wastos    | Sawdust   | 0.1-0.33    | 100-330   |  |
| rolesily wastes    | Wood residues   | 0.05        | 50        |  |
| Enorgatic crops    | Energetic willow  | 0.03        | 30        |  |
| Energenc crops     | Mischantus  | 0.008       | 8         |  |

The Romanian Sus

# Determination of the mobility potential



- Economic value of the logistic and processes components
  - The logistic components:
    - Transport 1 (from the source, to the processer)
    - Storage
    - Transport 2 (from the processer, to the user)
  - The process components :
    - Pelleting machinery
    - Briquetting machinery
    - Workers
    - Energy usage of the pelleting/briquetting machineries



Pelleting line : A. Raw material delivery; 1. Conveyor; 2. Sorter; 3. Hammer mill; 4. Drying installation; 5. Drying silo; 6. Conditioning device; 7. Ripening container; 8. Mold press; 9. Cooler; 10. Sieve; 11. Pellets silo; B. Transport to the final user Developing the Equations for logistic analysis of the biomass value chains

Equation for logistic analysis:

$$\mathsf{P}\left[\frac{\epsilon}{t}\right] = r\left[\frac{\epsilon}{t}\right] + t1\left[\frac{\epsilon}{t*km}\right] + p\left[\frac{\epsilon}{t}\right] + t2\left[\frac{\epsilon}{t*km}\right]$$

P= pellets/briquettes final costs r= raw material costs t1,2= transport costs p= processing costs

Legend



County border

Area 2

Area 4

Possible developing areas for biomass Area 1 (Best suited ) ROSENC

## Conclusion



- 21.5 TWh/Year wasted potential
- There is supply of raw biomass and energy demand, but very little connection between them
- Drafting a business plan in biomass = a lot of guess work = NOT sustainable
- Løck of cooperation and association among actors

### Possible solutions:

- Integration of supply chains
- Accurate mapping of actors
- Equitable distribution of added value within the supply chain



"Methodology for determining the economic development of biomass value chains, for West Region of Romania"





Strategic Case Study: Methodology for determining the economic development of biomass value chains, for West Region of Romania

Thank you for your interest!

Georgiana Birau – Biomass Technician

Tel: +40 748 204 315

Email: georgiana.birau@rosenc.ro

Web: <u>www.rosenc.ro</u>